

## Front Range Passenger Rail & November Vote

Recent reporting shows that Colorado’s long-discussed Front Range Passenger Rail project is moving toward a November ballot question that would ask voters in 13 Front Range counties to approve funding for a starter rail line.

According to coverage summarized from The Denver Post and other outlets, state and regional planners are preparing a 2026 ballot measure that would fund an initial Denver-to-Fort Collins “starter line”, with the larger Fort Collins–to–Trinidad system to follow in later phases. The technical planning is largely complete; the remaining challenge is political—how to fund it, how much service to promise, and whether voters will support it.

A separate report notes that the Front Range Passenger Rail District is leaning toward a **0.5% sales-tax measure** for the 2026 ballot. The district is completing a three-phase readiness plan (technical, public engagement, and project development) to determine whether the measure will officially be referred for the November 2026 election

### Comparison of Smart Skyways vs. Front Range Passenger Rail

Smart Skyways	Front Range Passenger Rail (FRPR)
<b>Elevated, automated, driverless guideway system</b> using lightweight electric vehicles with offline docking.	<b>Conventional steel-wheel passenger rail</b> operating on shared or dedicated track.
<b>Point-to-point, on-demand service</b> with no fixed schedules; vehicles depart as soon as passengers board.	<b>Fixed schedules</b> with trains running at set intervals regardless of demand.
<b>High throughput</b> due to platooning, short headways, and continuous flow.	<b>Lower throughput</b> constrained by train length, station spacing, and track capacity.
<b>Minimal land footprint</b> — 30×30 ft aerial easement; no grade crossings.	<b>Large land footprint</b> — requires right-of-way acquisition, track upgrades, and grade-crossing mitigation.
<b>Construction cost per mile is dramatically lower</b> due to lightweight structure and modular components.	<b>Construction cost per mile is high</b> due to track, signaling, bridges, stations, and safety systems.

<b>Energy-efficient electric propulsion</b> with regenerative braking and solar-ready guideways.	<b>Diesel or electrified rail</b> depending on corridor; electrification adds major cost.
<b>Scales with demand</b> — add more vehicles, not more track.	<b>Difficult to scale</b> — capacity increases require more trains, more track, or double-tracking.
<b>Zero congestion interaction</b> — elevated above roads.	<b>Interacts with freight rail</b> , requiring negotiations, time-slotting, and dispatch priority issues.
<b>Stations can be small, distributed, and integrated into communities.</b>	<b>Stations are large, centralized</b> , and require parking, platforms, and ADA infrastructure.
<b>Ideal for short, medium, and distributed trips</b> (1–30 miles).	<b>Ideal for long-distance, intercity trips</b> (20–200 miles).
<b>Supports freight-light logistics</b> at night using the same guideway.	<b>Primarily passenger-focused</b> ; freight rail is separate and often incompatible.
<b>Fully compatible with your Smart Infrastructure Corridor</b> — shares the digital backbone, clean-energy layer, and AI-operations layer.	<b>Partially compatible</b> — rail is a standalone system with limited integration into digital or AI-media revenue engines.
<b>Financed through revenue bonds tied to corridor performance</b> ; no long-term public operating subsidy.	<b>Requires ongoing public subsidy</b> for operations, maintenance, and capital replacement.
<b>30-year public ownership model</b> with surplus-revenue sharing.	<b>Public ownership with long-term operating obligations</b> and limited surplus potential.

## Conclusion

Taken together, the comparison makes the strategic distinction clear: **Smart Skyways is a flexible, scalable, digitally integrated mobility layer**, while **Front Range Passenger Rail is a traditional, capital-intensive, schedule-bound system** designed for a different era and a different trip pattern. Both have roles, but they do not solve the same problem. Skyways is an east west orientation and FRPR is a north south orientation. Skyways has tracks in both directions and docks off-line and FRPR has a single track at the moment and docks on-line.

Skyways aligns with **Colorado's future-facing goals**—clean energy, distributed access, AI-enabled operations, and corridor-based economic development—while FRPR aligns with **legacy intercity rail models** that require ongoing subsidy like the .5 cent sales tax and offer limited integration with emerging infrastructure.

By weighing cost, scalability, land impact, digital compatibility, and long-term public benefit, the preferred direction becomes evident: **the system that grows with the region, not against it, is the one that delivers the greatest return for Colorado's people, economy, and future.**